

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): NISHIZAWA, et al.
Serial No.: 10/774,533
Filed: February 10, 2004
For: OPERATION INPUT DEVICE, TELECONTROL SYSTEM AND
TELECONTROL METHOD
Group: 3761
Examiner: J. Stephens

REQUEST FOR COMPLETE OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

November 1, 2007

Sir:

Receipt is acknowledged of the Office Action mailed October 2, 2007.

Upon review of the Office Action, it has been determined that the Office Action cannot be understood for the reason that the Office Action states in the Office Action Summary that claims 1-8 and 10-18 are pending, but there is no subsequent mention of claims 4 and 5 in the Detailed Action of the aforementioned Office Action.

Accordingly, a status of claims 4 and 5 cannot be determined.

Further, in the Office Action dated July 14, 2006, on page 2 under the heading "Priority", it is stated that applicant have not filed the certified copy of 2003-344422 application. However, in Applicant's Amendment filed on October 16, 2006, it was indicated that the priority document was submitted to the USPTO as evidenced by a stamped postcard receipt. Attached hereto is a copy of such stamped postcard receipt, showing such evidence:

Applicants request that a corrected Office Action be issued, and that the period for response be reset in accordance with the mail date of the complete Office Action. Further, Applicant respectfully requests acknowledgement of the aforementioned certified copy being received in the USPTO.

Respectfully submitted,

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP

/Paul J. Skwierawski/
Paul J. Skwierawski
Registration No. 32,173

PJS/slk
(703) 312-6600

